The International Journal of Engineering and Science (IJES)
ISSN (e): 2319 – 1813 ISSN (p): 23-19 – 1805
|| Pages || PP 01-04 || 2018 ||

Review of Linear & Non-Linear Buckling Analysis of Rcc Wall

Salilgurudev*, Dr. Rakesh Patel#
*M.Tech Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, SIRTS, Bhopal
#Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, SIRTS, Bhopal

ABSTRACT: *Structural walls are subjected to gravity and earthquake load. Many of the tall buildings had collapsed during recent earthquakes and the reasons were poor design and construction practices. The buckling is one of the types of damage in reinforced concrete constructions (RCC) that are subjected to axial compressive loading. The objective of study is to assessment of the work of authors on linear and non-linear buckling behaviour of RCC walls using the finite element model.*
KEYWORDS*:ANSYS 15.0, Buckling analysis, FEA, Linear, Non-Linear, RCC wall*

I. INTRODUCTION

Design practices previous to the 1990s favoured rectangular partitions with enlarged boundary factors, contributing to stability of the flexural compression sector. Extra lately, prevailing practices in many countries favour square sections without enlarged obstacles. The extra narrow flexural compression zones may be susceptible to inelastic lateral buckling. Wall are subjected to compressive loads, the opportunity of unpredictability arises. Even though worldwide wall buckling takes place whilst the wall boundary is in compression, buckling can be strongly prompted by using the magnitude of the tensile pressure skilled by the wall for prior loading inside the contrary path. This is due to the fact residual tensile strains in the formerly yielded longitudinal reinforcement leave the wall boundary with open cracks, resulting in reduced lateral stiffness. Tendency to buckle is thought to depend in the main on the wall clear peak to thickness ratio hu/b and loading history. Failure modes are hypothesized. One speculation is that tensile yielding for loading in a single direction softens the boundary for next loading inside the opposite route, main to lateral instability of an otherwise intact wall. A second speculation is that the wall crushes first, leaving an even smaller and abnormal pass section. This crushed section can also come to be straight away volatile or, alternatively, next anxiety and compression cycles may lead to instability of the reduced pass phase consistent with the primary hypothesis, main to a secondary buckling failure[1].

II. BUCKLING

Buckling is that mode of failure whilst the shape reviews surprising failure whilst subjected to compressive stress. Whilst a slim structure is loaded in compression, for small hundreds it deforms with rarely any noticeable trade in the geometry and load carrying potential. On the point of critical load value, the shape reviews a huge deformation and might lose its potential to carry load. This level is the buckling stage[2].

The formula for critical buckling load for a pin-pinned column is given below

$$Pc=\frac{\pi^2}{l^2}EI$$

III. POST BUCKLING

Post buckling stage is a continuation of the buckling degree. After the burden reaches its essential cost the weight cost might not change or it can start reducing, whilst deformation continues to increase. In a few instances the structure continues to take more hundreds after certain amount of deformation, to keep increasing deformation which ultimately outcomes in a second buckling cycle. Post buckling analysis being non-linear, we attain far greater records than we obtain from linear Eigen-price analysis.

The nonlinear load displacement courting, which may be an end result of the stress pressure relationship with a nonlinear feature of pressure, strain and/or time; the adjustments in geometry due to large displacements; irreversible structural behaviour upon removal of outside hundreds; trade in the boundary conditions along with trade within the touch region and the have an impact on of loading sequence at the behaviour of the shape, calls for a nonlinear structural evaluation.

The structural nonlinearities may be categorized as, a geometric nonlinearity, a material nonlinearity and a contact or a boundary nonlinearity[2].

3.1 GEOMETRIC NON LINEARITY

Geometric nonlinearity arises from the presence of massive stress, small stress and/or rotations and lack of structural stability. Huge strains may occur in rubber systems and metal forming. Narrow structures along with bars and skinny plates may experience large displacements and rotations with small strains. Pre-pressured systems with small lines and displacements may additionally go through a loss of balance via buckling.

Geometric non-linearity may be categorized in types,

Large Deflection and rotation: If the structure undergoes huge displacements as compared to its smallest dimension and rotations to such an extent that it's original dimensions and position, as well as the loading path, change drastically, the huge deflection ad rotation analysis comes turns into important.

Stress Stiffening: while the pressure in one course affects the stiffness in different course strain stiffening takes place. A structure, having little or no stiffness in compression, but having large stiffness in anxiety well-known shows this behaviour[2].

3.2 MATERIAL NON LINEARITY

Material nonlinearities stand up from the presence of time independent behaviour such as plasticity, time established behaviour inclusive of creep and viscoelastic / viscoplastic behaviour in which both plasticity and creep effects arise simultaneously.

These may also bring about irreversible structural behaviour. Non-linear behaviour in ANSYS is characterised as, Plasticity- everlasting time impartial deformation.

Creep: everlasting, time structured deformation.

Non-linear elastic: non-linear strain strain curve, shape returns to unique country on unloading, no everlasting deformation.

Viscoelasticity: Time structured deformation beneath consistent load. Structure returns to unique state upon unloading.

Hyper elasticity: Rubber - like materials[2].

3.3 CONTACT NON LINEARITY

Nonlinearity because of contact conditions arises because the prescribed displacements on the boundary depend upon the deformation of the structure[2].

IV. PARAMETERS INFLUENCES THE LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY OF RC WALL

4.1 SLENDERNESS RATIO

The load carrying potential of structural concrete walls depends on its slenderness ratio. Their layout is similar to the layout of layout of masonry partitions and is lesser of the following two ratios:

(a) Ratio of powerful height along vertical direction and thickness = He/t

(b) Ratio of effective duration along the horizontal path and thickness = Le/t.

Wherein He's the effective peak and t the thickness and effective length of simple walls is Le.

As in step with IS 456, whilst the slenderness ratio is equal to or extra than 12, walls are taken into consideration slim. And in keeping with BS 8110, partitions are narrow when this ratio exceeds 15 for a braced wall and 10 for unbraced wall. Slim walls may have a decrease last energy. Effect of slenderness ratio is major in case of high strength concrete walls than normal energy concrete walls. Brief partitions or less narrow partitions fail by crushing on the compressed face and bending at the tension face, whilst slender partitions can also additionally fail through buckling[4].

4.2 PERCENTAGE OF REINFORCEMENT

Reinforced concrete wall is designed as a compression member. Reinforced concrete wall is utilized in case wherein beam is not supplied and load from the slab is heavy or whilst the masonry wall thickness is restricted.

Reinforced concrete wall is assessed as:

simple concrete wall, whilst reinforcement < 0.4%

Reinforced concrete wall, when reinforcement >0.four%

Load from slab is transferred as axial load to wall. Whilst depth is big, it is referred to as RC wall. Layout is similar to a RC column, breadth same to thickness of wall and intensity equal to 1m. RCC Wall is designed as:

Axially loaded wall

Axially loaded with uniaxial bending[5]

V. LITERATURE SURVEYED

Discussed the possibilities of modelling reinforcement details of models of reinforced concrete in practical use. The structure of the analytical studies was modelled in a Finite Element software ANSYS. The samples are modelled as (i) a discrete model and (ii) a smeared model. It reports the results of the analysis of the flanged shear wall with two different types of modelling under cyclic load. The consequences of minor changes in modelling are discussed and it is shown that the two models produce satisfactory results[6].

Presented themselves for buckling of sections subject to cycles of inelastic stress and compression strain. The theory is applied to tests of reinforced concrete prisms and one Chilean building (Alto Huerto), which had a curved wall after the earthquake in Chile in 2010. They concluded that buckling is not a failure after crushing of wall[7].

Completed finite element analysis of the double-walled steel-concrete composite scissor wall is performed to simulate the entire process behaviour of the scissor wall. The load displacement relationship is obtained and the slippage property of the steel sheet concrete connection is also intensively investigated by maintaining the spring elements on the interface. Finally, the influence of the axial compression ratio and the steel sheet thickness is presented by a parametric analysis. The conclusions drawn from the analysis are that the maximum slippage of the shear wall takes place on the tension side of the wall bottom where the concrete tears and the axial force moment curve has a parabolic property. The relevant conclusions are useful for the routine design[8].

Investigates the behaviour of an explosion of reinforced concrete subject to air-jet loading. In this study, an armed concrete blasting wall was designed to withstand a radius load for the capacity of 5 kg of TNT at a distance of 2 meters. The thickness of the explosion wall is 250 mm and the height is 4500 mm. AUTODYN 3D hydrocode software was used to simulate the behaviour of the reinforced concrete blast wall with air jets. A total of four different load weights from TNT, representing a minimum payload of person or vehicle to carry an explosive, were simulated at a distance of 2 meters from the explosive wall. These explosive capacity representative bombs are hand-carried bomb by personnel with a load capacity of 5 kg, motorcycle 50 kg, car 400 kg and also with the capacity of 1500 kg of TNT explosive. The simulation results show that the explosive wall increased the explosion load to 5 kg and had slight damage to the wall if it was subjected to 50 kg TNT loading weight, but the explosives wall failed when exposed to 400 kg and 1500 kg TNT loading weight at a distance of 2 meters. The results show that the simulation results with AUTODYN 3D simulation software are comparable with the design data[9].

Explores the impacts of variety of folding parameters and incorporation of infill plate opening on the auxiliary execution of trapezoidally corrugated SPSWs under monotonic stacking through limited component recreation utilizing ANSYS. Clasping steadiness, solidness, quality, and flexibility exhibitions of various SPSW models created in light of web-plate thickness, folding edge, and opening size parameters are explored. Square openings are actualized at the focal point of the infill plates with regions equivalent to 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 percent of the web-plate out-of-plane anticipated region. The exactness of the limited component displaying is confirmed through correlation of numerical and exploratory outcomes. Discoveries of study demonstrate that appropriate outline of the limit outline individuals and ideal choice of the web-plate thickness and additionally folding parameters can bring about alluring execution of steel shear dividers with trapezoidally-ridged and punctured infill plates, especially in spite of the adverse impacts of web-plate opening, as incompletely appeared in their work[10].

Presents a comprehensive study of concrete wall against this dynamic loading. Concrete wall subjected to blast loading is modelled in Finite Element package ANSYS and then analysed in AUTODYN with and without steel plate to study the impact of blast loading[11].

VI. CONCLUSION

From above literature assessment we have seen that so many numbers of works has been completed on shear wall for non-linear analysis investigation and the behaviour underneath seismic reaction in RC structural walls. Be that as it is able to, the work has no longer been accomplished on percent reinforcement on boundary detail and the thickness of boundary element for a particular height of the building.

It’s miles moreover certain that many issues diagnosed with the prediction of buckling behaviour of bars in bolstered concrete wall will stay uncertain due to absence of adequate data and dependable fashions. greater paintings is needed on the parameters impacting buckling reaction of bars in reinforced concrete wall, as an example, such as effective buckling period, interactions among longitudinal bars, loading pattern[3].

REFERENCES

[1]. P. F. Parra, J. P. Moehle (2014). Lateral Buckling In Reinforced Concrete Walls, Tenth U.S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering Frontiers of Earthquake Engineering, July 21-25, Alaska.
[2]. Deshpande (2010). Buckling and Post Buckling of Structural Components.University of Texas, Texas, Arlington.
[3]. Jyoti S. Tekavde, Dr. S.S. Angalekar (2016). Non Linear Buckling Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Wall by Using Different Parameters, International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology, 5(7), 676-681.
[4]. Jaseela C A, P R Sreemahadevan Pillai (2017). Review on concrete structural wall with openings. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology. 4 (3). 1641-1644.
[5]. The Constructor Civil Engineering Home. https://theconstructor.org/structural-engg/design-of-reinforced-concrete-wall/6859/. 24th Jan. 2018.

[6]. Greeshma S, Jaya K P, AnniletSheeja (2011). Analysis of Flanged Shear Wall Using Ansys Concrete Model, International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering, 2(2), 454-465.
[7]. P. F. Parra, J. P. Moehle (2012). Lateral Buckling In Reinforced Concrete Walls", Tenth U.S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering Frontiers of Earthquake Engineering, July 21-25, Alaska.
[8]. Ma Xiaowei, NieJianguo, and Tao Muxuan (2012). Nonlinear Finite-Element Analysis of Double-Skin Steel-Concrete Composite Shear Wall Structures, International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 5(6), 648-652.
[9]. Mohammed Alias Yusofet. al. (2014). Simulation of Reinforced Concrete Blast Wall Subjected to Air Blast Loading, Journal of Asian Scientific Research, 4(9), 522-523.
[10]. MiladBahrebar, TadehZirakian, Mohammad Hajsadeghi (2015). Nonlinear buckling analysis of steel plate shear walls with trapezoidally corrugated and perforated infill plates, Proceedings of the Annual Stability Conference Structural Stability Research Council.Nashville, Tennessee, March 24th-27th, March. PP 1-10.
[11]. Ashish Kumar Tiwari, Aditya Kumar Tiwari, Anil Dhiman (2016). Analysis of Concrete Wall under Blast Loading", International Conference on Advances in Emerging Technology, Chennai, India, 7th-8th, May. PP 12-16.